



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/593,832

11/21/2006

Tadatoshi Kurozumi

Q80768

5492

23373 7590 07/02/2009  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, COLETTE B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,832	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUROZUMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> COLETTE NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Status of the application***

*This is the 2<sup>nd</sup> office action*

*Claims 1-32 are original and presented for examination*

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claim 1-32** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohmori et al. (PCT/JP99/06876) and further in view of Tanaka et al (PCT/JP00/05794). Ohmori teaches a perovskite type composite oxide containing titanium oxide with formula  $M(\text{TiO}_3)$  wherein M is at least one selected from Ca, Sr, Ba, Pb, and Mg and  $D_1=6/p$  where  $p$  is the particle density and  $S$  is the specific surface area of the particles. The preferred process method is hydrolysis of titanium tetrachloride precursor in alkaline solution. However he does not teach vapor-phase method. Tanaka et al, on the other hand teaches titanium oxide particles process, also from titanium chloride precursor, using vapor phase method, mainly for cosmetics with little aggregation and having highly excellent dispersibility. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 1793

in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of Ohmori and Tanaka as both teach method of making titanium oxide compound using titanium tetrachloride as a precursor to produce titanium-containing mixed oxide particles having a small particle size and excellent dispersibility at a low cost for a perovskite type product.

Regarding claim 1. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound, characterized in that the method comprises a step of reacting titanium oxide produced through a vapor-phase method with at least one element selected from a group of alkaline earth metal compound and Pb compound in an alkaline solution. Ohmori (Abstract and para 0019 and para 0023) and Tanaka (Abstract).

Regarding claim 2. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 1, wherein primary particles of the titanium-containing perovskite compound have a diameter (D1) that is 50 to 200% the size of primary particles of the titanium oxide serving as a starting material, the size (D1) being determined by converting the specific surface area (S) of the particles obtained by the BET method to the total surface area of spheres in accordance with the following equation (i):

$$D1 = 6/pS \text{ (i)}$$

wherein  $p$  represents a density of the particles and  $S$  represents a BET specific surface area. Ohmori (Abstract).

3. Regarding claim 3. Both Ohmori and Tanaka teach a BET specific surface area of 3-200 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Tanaka (Abstract) and Ohmori (para 0013).

Art Unit: 1793

4. Regarding claim 4. Tanaka discloses The method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 1, using the titanium oxide produced by oxidizing titanium tetrachloride at high temperature by use of an oxidizing gas. Tanaka (Abstract).
5. Regarding claim 5. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 4, using the titanium oxide produced by a vapor-phase method is produced by respectively introducing a titanium tetrachloride-containing gas and an oxidizing gas which are heated in advance to 500°C or higher into a reaction tube at a flow rate of 10 m/sec or more. Tanaka (para 0012 and para 0013 ).
6. Regarding claim 6. . Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 5, using the titanium oxide produced by retaining the titanium tetrachloride-containing gas and the oxidizing gas in the reaction tube for one second or shorter under a high-temperature condition higher than 600°C. Tanaka (para 0014).
7. Regarding claim 7. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 6, using the titanium oxide produced under a condition of an average gas flow rate in the reaction tube of 5 m/sec or more. Tanaka (para 0015).
8. Regarding claim 8. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 1 using the titanium oxide produced by a vapor-phase method is produced by introducing the preheated titanium

Art Unit: 1793

tetrachloride-containing gas and oxidizing gas into the reaction tube in such a manner that turbulence is generated in the reaction tube. Tanaka (para 0045)

9. Regarding claim 9. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 8, using the titanium oxide produced by introducing the titanium tetrachloride-containing gas and the oxidizing gas into the reaction tube through a coaxial parallel flow nozzle and the inner tube of the coaxial parallel flow nozzle has an inside diameter of 50 nm or less(para 0017).

10. Regarding claim 10. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 4—wherein the titanium-tetrachloride-containing gas has a titanium tetrachloride content of 10 to 100%. Tanaka(para 0018).

11. Regarding claim 11. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 5, wherein each of the titanium tetrachloride-containing gas and the oxidizing gas is heated in advance at 800°C or higher. Tanaka ( para 0019).

12. Regarding claim 12. The method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 1, wherein the titanium oxide produced by a vapor-phase method has a mean particle diameter at a 90% cumulative weight on the particle size distribution curve (D90) of 2.2 μm or less. Tanaka (para 0020).

13. Regarding claim 13. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 1, wherein the titanium

Art Unit: 1793

oxide produced through a vapor-phase method has a distribution constant  $n$ , as calculated from the following Rosin-Rammler equation (2), of 1.7 or more:

$$R=100 \exp(-bD^n) \quad (2)$$

wherein  $D$  is a particle diameter;  $R$  is the percentage of the number of particles larger than  $D$ (particle diameter) with respect to the total number of particles;  $n$  is a distribution constant; and  $b$  is a reciprocal of particle characteristic constant. Tanaka (para 0021).

Regarding claim 14. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 1, wherein the titanium oxide produced by a vapor-phase method contains anatase-crystal-form titanium oxide. Tanaka(para 0035," *The fine particulates of titanium oxide of the present invention may be contained as a pigment or a particle component using the photocalytic effect in various compositions*". Only anatase crystal form of titanium oxide exhibits this characteristic, not the brookite type.

14. Regarding claims 15 and 16. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a method for producing a titanium-containing perovskite compound as claimed in claim 1, using an alkaline solution in which a basic compound exists and wherein the basic compound is selected from ammonium, organic amine and hydroxide of ammonium salt. Ohmori (para 27, 28). Despite that "organic amine" is not specified, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to select organic amine as it is an organic alkali compound such as ammonium tetramethyl hydroxide as taught by Ohmori.

15. Regarding claim 17. See discussion set forth above for claim 1

Art Unit: 1793

16. Regarding claims 18,19,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,,32. Ohmori in view of Tanaka teach all the instant claims by disclosing all the functional applications including and not limited to dielectric materials, pierzoelectric , memory media and photocatalysts such as thin-film, ceramic, electronic devices. Ohmori (para 53 and claims 8-16)  
Regarding claims 20 and 21. Ohmori in view of Tanaka disclose a paste and slurry containing titanium-perovskite compound as claimed in claim 18. (See the examples in both references)

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 04/02/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071,5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Ohmori discloses a perovskite type composite oxide containing titanium oxide with formula  $M(\text{TiO}_3)$  and Tanaka discloses a process using vapor phase to make titanium oxide with improved dispersibility and no aggregation. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings and claim novelty as they do offer improvement over hydrolysis The rationale to modify or combine the prior art does not have to be expressly stated in the prior art; the rationale may be expressly or



Art Unit: 1793

impliedly contained in the prior art or it may be reasoned from knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, established scientific principles, or legal precedent established by prior case law. *In re Fine*, 837 F .2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988); *In re Jones*, 958 F .2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir.1992). See also *In re Kotzab*, 217 F.3d 1365, 1370, 55 USPQ2d 1313, 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (setting forth test for implicit teachings); *In re Eli Lilly & Co.*, 902 F .2d 943, 14 USPQ2d 1741 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (discussion of reliance on legal precedent); *In re Nilssen*, 851 F .2d 1401, 1403, 7 USPQ 2d 1500, 1502 (Fed Cir., 1988) (references do not have to explicitly suggest combining teachings); *Ex parte Clapp*, 227 USPQ 972 (Bd. Pat App & Inter. 1985) (examiner must present convincing line of reasoning supporting rejection); and *Ex parte Levengood*, 28 USPQ2d 1300 (Bd. Pat App & Inter. 1993) (reliance on logic and sound scientific reasoning).

As to the claim that the present invention exhibits ferroelectricity and high heat resistance and excellent dispersibility, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter.1985). Tanaka suggests the vapor-phase method is better than the liquid phase process for titanium oxide which results in ultra fine and uniform particles which are excellent for UV light shielding and photocatalyst application with excellent dispersibility and no coagulation. Ohmori teaches that fine titanium oxide particles which have good dispersibility will result in excellent photocatalytic activity therefore the high heat resistance and ferroelectricity

Art Unit: 1793

characteristics of the final product is merely another recognized advantage which would flow from the suggestion of the prior art.

### ***Conclusion***

17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to COLETTE NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-5831. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 10:00-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Mayes can be reached on (571)-272-1234. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/COLETTE NGUYEN/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

June 25, 2009

/Melvin Curtis Mayes/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793